

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,934	03/09/2004	Andreas Sausner	388-1001	3281
23626	7590 08/21/2006	•	EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD.			HONG, JOHN C	
	(ROCKFORD OFFICE) TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			PAPER NUMBER
180 NORTH STESTON AVENUE			3726	
CHICAGO, II	60601-6780		DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathscr{V}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	10/796,934	SAUSNER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John C. Hong	3726
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ju</u>	une 2006.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 14-17</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-9,16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 7,14,15 and 17 is/are objected to.	a ala affa a an anciona a a f	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	PF.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ad
200 mile and mile admined of the administration of a field	o. a.s coranica copics not receive	····
Attachment(s)	o∏	(070,440)
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	333.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,934 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1,2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Otto et al. (U.S. Patent 6988311).

Otto et al. disclose a method for making a metal tube sheathed with an electrically non-conducting plastic layer, for use in a motor vehicle for transporting liquid or gaseous media, especially fuels, and which is adapted to be connected to a conductive portion of the motor vehicle, the steps comprising, removing at least a portion of the plastic layer of the metal tube at an area of connection providing a conductive covering section which covers the area of connection on all sides or more than covers the area of connection on all sides, pressing the conductive covering onto the metal tube at least at its ends under radial pressure applied along at least part of the circumference without any gaps (col.1, lines 22-31).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 6,8,9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otto et al. (U.S. Patent 6988311) in view of Ikeda et al. (U.S. Patent 5940952).

Otto et al. teach the limitation except the steps of covering section consists of a heat-shrinkable sleeve made of electrically conductive plastic; the heat-shrinkable sleeve is shrunk onto the metal tube by heat treatment; the heat-shrinkable sleeve is provided with an electrically conductive adhesive coating on the inside.

Ikeda et al. teach the steps of covering section consists of a heat-shrinkable sleeve made of electrically conductive plastic (col. 6, line 64); the heat-shrinkable sleeve is shrunk onto the metal tube by heat treatment (Figs 1-3,7,8); the heat-shrinkable sleeve is provided with an electrically conductive adhesive coating (6) on the inside (Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the steps of covering section consists of a heat-shrinkable sleeve made of electrically conductive plastic; the heat-shrinkable sleeve is shrunk onto the metal tube by heat treatment; the heat-shrinkable sleeve is provided with an electrically conductive adhesive coating on the inside, as taught by Ikeda et al. on the method of Otto et al. so as to apply corrosion-protective coating to inner tube effectively.

5. Claims 3-5, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otto et al. .

Otto et al. fail to teach the method of utilizing covering section consists of metal crimp sleeve consists of aluminum or stainless steel press on tube mechanically, but these are is well known in the art and It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to utilize such covering on the method of Otto et al. so as to ground the fuel tube effectively.

The above mentioned well-known in the art is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of the official notice.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7,14,15 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See the new Office action.

Regarding the Ikeda et al. reference, Applicant rebutted that it is related to the joint connection of two adjacent tubes, but the purpose of corrosion-protection is relevant to the present claims.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3726

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John C. Hong whose telephone number is 571-272-4529. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(07:00-16:30)First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on 571-272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Application/Control Number: 10/796,934

Art Unit: 3726

. .

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John C. Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726 Page 6

jh August 16, 2006